

Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

Diagnosis, genetic and family screening, sudden death risk stratification with ICD decision-making, and medical/invasive management of obstructive and nonobstructive HCM.

COR I • Recommended IIa • Reasonable IIb • May Consider III • No Benefit / Harm

1 Clinical Diagnosis & Imaging

Initial workup for suspected HCM

I B-NR	Comprehensive physical exam (incl. Valsalva, squat-to-stand, passive leg raise) plus complete 3-generation family history recommended as part of initial diagnostic assessment, followed by ECG and imaging to identify LVH.
I B-NR	TTE recommended for initial evaluation of suspected HCM. Repeat every 1–2y (children) or per clinical status; repeat immediately with any new symptom or clinical event.
I B-NR	Resting LVOT gradient <50 mmHg : TTE with provocative maneuvers recommended. If still <50 mmHg and symptomatic, exercise TTE to detect dynamic LVOTO.
I B-NR	CMR reasonable when echo is inconclusive (apical HCM/aneurysm, atypical hypertrophy) and for LGE quantification informing SCD risk.

FIRST-DEGREE RELATIVE	START SCREENING	REPEAT ECG/ECHO
Child/adolescent, genotype+ or early-onset family	At diagnosis in relative	Every 1–2y
Other children/adolescents	Any time after diagnosis, by puberty	Every 2–3y
Adults	At diagnosis in relative	Every 3–5y

2 Genetics & Family Screening

PROBAND & CASCADE TESTING

I B-NR	Genetic testing recommended in the proband to enable cascade testing of at-risk relatives. First-tier panel: <i>MYH7, MYBPC3, TNNI3, TNNT2, TPM1, MYL2, MYL3, ACTC1</i> .
I B-NR	First-degree relatives: offer both clinical screening (ECG + 2D echo) and cascade genetic testing when a pathogenic/likely pathogenic variant is identified in the proband.

COUNSELING & LIMITS OF TESTING

I B-NR	Genetic counseling by an expert in cardiovascular genetics recommended so risks, benefits, and results can be reviewed in shared decision-making.
IIb B-NR	Usefulness of genetic testing for SCD risk assessment in adults is uncertain ; usefulness of testing VUS-carrying phenotype-negative relatives for reclassification is uncertain.

III NB • B-NR	If proband has no pathogenic variant (benign/likely-benign only), cascade genetic testing of family is not useful. Ongoing clinical screening not indicated in genotype-negative relatives of genotype+ families.
--	--

3 SCD Risk Stratification & ICD Decision-Making

Major clinical risk factors — assess at initial evaluation and every 1–2y (I, B-NR)

MAJOR RISK FACTOR	CRITERIA
Family history of SCD	≥1 first-degree/close relative, HCM-attributable, ≤50y
Massive LVH	≥30 mm any LV segment (borderline ≥28 mm)
Unexplained syncope	Recent, suspected arrhythmic (not vasovagal or LVOTO-related)
LV systolic dysfunction	EF <50%
LV apical aneurysm	With transmural scar/LGE
Extensive LGE on CMR	≥15% of LV mass
NSVT	On ambulatory monitoring

IIa B-NR	Echo-derived LA diameter + max LVOT gradient inform the validated 5-year SCD risk calculator (separate adult and pediatric versions) to aid shared decision-making.
I C-EO	Individual clinical judgment applied to conventional risk markers, with thorough shared decision-making, is recommended for every ICD discussion.
I B-NR	Secondary prevention : prior documented cardiac arrest or sustained VT — ICD placement recommended.
IIa B-NR	Primary prevention : ≥1 major SCD risk factor above — reasonable to offer ICD, after estimating 5-year risk to inform shared decision-making.
IIb B-NR	No major risk factors, or decision uncertain — ICD may be considered if extensive LGE or NSVT present (adults); pediatric: consider extensive LGE + systolic dysfunction.
III Harm • B-NR	No SCD risk factors — ICD should not be placed. ICD for the sole purpose of sports eligibility should not be placed.

4 Obstructive HCM — Medical Therapy Ladder

For symptoms attributable to LVOTO

I B-NR	Step 1 — Nonvasodilating beta blocker, titrated to symptomatic effect or maximally tolerated dose.
↓ symptoms persist	
I B-NR/C-LD	Step 2 — Beta blocker ineffective/not tolerated → substitute nondihydropyridine CCB (verapamil or diltiazem).
↓ symptoms persist	
I B-R	Step 3 — Add a cardiac myosin inhibitor (adults only), or disopyramide (+ AV-nodal blocker), or refer for SRT at an experienced center.
↓ acute hypotension	
I C-LD	Unresponsive to fluids: IV phenylephrine (or other pure vasoconstrictor), alone or with a beta blocker.

Caveats: Persistent dyspnea with volume overload despite GDMT — cautious low-dose oral diuretics may be considered (IIb, C-EO); discontinuing vasodilators (ACEI/ARB, dihydropyridine CCB) or digoxin may also be reasonable, as these worsen LVOTO (IIb, C-EO). Severe rest dyspnea, hypotension, gradient >100 mmHg, or age <6 weeks — **verapamil is potentially harmful** (III: Harm, C-LD).

5 Obstructive HCM — Invasive Treatment (SRT)

INDICATIONS

I B-NR	Symptomatic despite GDMT, eligible anatomy — SRT (surgical myectomy or alcohol septal ablation) at an experienced HCM center recommended for LVOTO relief.
I B-NR/C-LD	Associated structural disease needing surgery (papillary muscle anomaly, elongated leaflet, multivessel CAD, AS) → surgical myectomy . Surgery contraindicated/high-risk in adults → alcohol septal ablation .

PATIENT SELECTION

IIb B-NR	Earlier (NYHA II) myectomy at comprehensive centers reasonable with: severe/progressive pulmonary hypertension, LA enlargement + symptomatic AF, poor functional capacity, or gradient >100 mmHg in children/young adults.
III Harm	Asymptomatic with normal exercise capacity — SRT not recommended . Mitral valve replacement should not be performed solely for LVOTO relief when SRT is an option.

6 Nonobstructive HCM, Preserved EF

I C-LD	Exertional angina or dyspnea — beta blocker or nondihydropyridine CCB recommended; add oral diuretics if dyspnea persists (IIa, C-EO).
IIb C-LD/B-R	ACEI/ARB benefit for symptoms not well established. Apical HCM with severe symptoms + small LV cavity — apical myectomy at experienced centers may be considered. Age ≤45y with pathogenic variant + mild phenotype — valsartan may slow remodeling.